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Violence against women and girls (VAWG) is one of the most widespread abuses of human rights worldwide, affecting one third of women in their lifetime. It is a human rights, security, and global health issue “of epidemic proportions, requiring urgent action.”\(^1\) However, existing evidence on drivers, forms, and trends of VAWG is minimal,\(^2\) particularly in conflict and humanitarian settings. Much of the rigorous evidence that exists is from high-income countries and focuses on specific types of violence.

Further, there is limited rigorous evidence on what works to prevent and respond to VAWG in low-income settings, particularly conflict and humanitarian settings. Systematic reviews of the literature on VAWG in conflict and humanitarian emergencies carried out in the last few years point to the scarcity of high quality, rigorous research in this field.\(^3\) There is increasing acknowledgement of the need to better understand the scale and scope of VAWG in conflict and humanitarian emergencies, and improved strategies to prevent and address it.

What Works to Prevent Violence Against Women and Girls (What Works) is an international multi-disciplinary partnership led by the International Rescue Committee (IRC) with George Washington University’s Global Women’s Institute (GWU, GWI) and CARE International UK (CIUK). Additional academic and research partners include the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (LSHTM), the Africa Population Health Research Center (APHRC) in Nairobi, Kenya, and Forcier Consulting in Juba, South Sudan.

Six research studies (outlined in Figure 1) are being conducted to produce rigorous research and evidence on:

- the prevalence, forms, trends, and drivers of VAWG;
- effective VAWG prevention and response in conflict and humanitarian settings; and
- how to carry out safe, ethical, and rigorous research in conflict and humanitarian settings.

These areas were prioritised as thematic areas for study as they have a high likelihood of catalysing programming and donor investment to prevent and respond to VAWG in humanitarian crises, both by deepening the understanding of the problem and providing evidence about what works to address the problem. An evidence brief that summarises a number of systematic reviews on GBV in emergencies is also available.\(^4\) Ultimately this evidence base, coupled with methodological innovation and a Consortium committed to robust research uptake, will result in a tangible difference to the lives of women and girls who are at risk of, and who have survived, VAWG.
Call to Action on Protection from Gender-Based Violence in Emergencies

The scale and breadth of the What Works programme is notable, but it is important to highlight other international efforts in this field. The What Works programme is not alone in investing time and resources in researching and prioritising prevention and response to gender-based violence (GBV) in emergencies and fits into a larger, global drive to create change for affected populations, particularly women and girls.

In 2013 Sweden and the UK’s Department for International Development jointly launched the Call to Action on Protection from GBV in Emergencies (Call to Action), a global appeal to diverse stakeholders – governments, donors, international organisations, NGOs, civil society, women’s organisations, the private sector – to make specific commitments to contribute towards transforming the way GBV is addressed in the humanitarian space. In its foundational Communiqué, partners laid out the core principles of the Call to Action and agreed to develop an operational framework, or Road Map, for pledges to be put into action and for humanitarian actors to be held accountable to the same. The US Government assumed leadership of the Call to Action in January 2014 and began to support the development of the Road Map, which was officially launched in October 2015 in conjunction with leadership being handed over to Sweden. The Swedish Government will now preside over promoting and implementing the Road Map for one year.

How does What Works complement the Call to Action?

The Call to Action identifies an “insufficient evidence base on effective programming and systemic response” as one of six areas of concern which present the largest challenge to quickly and effectively addressing GBV in an emergency, and emphasises that “more attention and funding are needed to build this critical evidence base.” As the largest multi-year study currently examining VAWG in conflict and crisis, What Works will play an instrumental role in advancing the global research agenda in this area.

Additionally, What Works and the Call to Action share the same long-term goals and objectives. Figure 1 gives an overarching visual of how What Works’ six individual research studies on VAWG in conflict and crisis complement the Call to Action’s six outcomes.

“Specific and reliable data about the humanitarian system’s response to GBV in individual crises is also lacking.”

CALL TO ACTION ROAD MAP
Figure 1: How What Works’ studies complement the Call to Action’s outcomes
**Figure 2:  How does the Call to Action plan to deliver its objectives?**

In order to meet its three objectives, the Call to Action Road Map includes six target outcomes to be met by 2020, which break down further into specific action points for various stakeholders. The What Works research portfolio supports the achievement of the Call to Action's target outcomes in the following ways:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CALL TO ACTION TARGET OUTCOMES</th>
<th>OUTCOME 1</th>
<th>OUTCOME 2</th>
<th>OUTCOME 3</th>
<th>OUTCOME 4</th>
<th>OUTCOME 5</th>
<th>OUTCOME 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WHAT WORKS STUDIES</td>
<td>STUDY 1: South Sudan prevalence study</td>
<td>STUDY 2: Assessment of comprehensive case management and task-sharing model in Dadaab refugee camp, Kenya</td>
<td>STUDY 3: Retrospective study on humanitarian response to Typhoon Haiyan, Philippines</td>
<td>STUDY 4: Prospective emergency cash transfer study</td>
<td>STUDY 5: Statebuilding and peacebuilding study</td>
<td>STUDY 6: Secondary analysis on the impact of Village Savings and Loans Associations in the DRC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|                              | Highlights specific challenges related to the humanitarian architecture in South Sudan and the impact on women and girls. | Focuses on understanding the context and needs of the local population and provides concrete recommendations on ensuring effective and accountable integration of GBV prevention and response. | Provides robust evidence on the drivers, forms and trends of VAWG in South Sudan and where funding needs to be channelled in relation to research, policy, and programming. | Assesses comprehensive task-sharing case management model to improve access, quality of care, and health outcomes for survivors of violence in the refugee camps of Dadaab. | Overall the research programme aims to: |}
|                              | Focuses on understanding the context and needs of the local population (Dadaab refugee camp). | Focuses on analysis in the early phase of an emergency, and provides concrete recommendations on ensuring effective and accountable integration of GBV prevention and response and gender equality into humanitarian response efforts. | Analyzes where lack of funding was a constraint to preventing and responding to GBV in an acute setting. | Explores the effectiveness of GBV experts deployed during Typhoon Haiyan and their role in ensuring VAWG prevention and response activities were addressed by the wider humanitarian response. | provide rigorous research on GBV in conflict-affected areas so that humanitarian actors have the necessary data to make evidence-based decisions in order to improve programming and policy on VAWG at the international, national, and local levels. |}
|                              | Provides recommendations for increased accountability, including for the implementation of, and accountability to, the 2015 Guidelines for Integrating GBV Interventions in Humanitarian Action | Empowers the consequences of not having clear leadership and coordination across the various sectors and how this leads to missed opportunities in providing timely and comprehensive response activities to women and girls in an emergency. | Analyzes potential and emerging needs across the human rights and gender landscapes and recommends a comprehensive and user-friendly database. | Assesses how responses to VAWG have been integrated by national actors into peacebuilding and post-conflict processes in four selected post-conflict countries. | present data in appropriate formats for different audiences, hold events and workshops at the international and national levels to ensure research findings are understood, and discuss concrete ways of taking forward the findings and recommendations. |}
|                              | Contributes to making links across sectors on the use of cash transfers to meet basic needs. | Focuses on analysis in the early phase of an emergency, and provides concrete recommendations on ensuring effective and accountable integration of GBV prevention and response and gender equality into humanitarian response efforts. | Analyzes cash transfer programming as an effective intervention to prevent VAWG and support women’s empowerment. | Facilitate access to evidence on VAWG in conflict and humanitarian crises by managing a comprehensive and user-friendly database. | complement the research through a range of capacity strengthening workshops and activities aimed at supporting humanitarian actors and donors to better understand and interpret VAWG research and evidence. |}
|                              | Evaluates the impact of cash transfers on women’s empowerment and protection outcomes in an acute emergency; informs the development of effective integration of women’s protection and empowerment conditions into cash transfer programming and policy. | Analyzes where lack of funding was a constraint to preventing and responding to GBV in an acute setting. | Provides further analysis of the impact of Village Savings and Loans Associations in the DRC. | Provide recommendations to GBV experts deployed during Typhoon Haiyan and their role in ensuring VAWG prevention and response activities were addressed by the wider humanitarian response. | Explore the effectiveness of GBV experts deployed during Typhoon Haiyan and their role in ensuring VAWG prevention and response activities were addressed by the wider humanitarian response. |}

Overall the research programme aims to:

- **Provide rigorous research on GBV in conflict-affected areas** so that humanitarian actors have the necessary data to make evidence-based decisions in order to improve programming and policy on VAWG at the international, national, and local levels.
- **Present data in appropriate formats for different audiences**, hold events and workshops at the international and national levels to ensure research findings are understood, and discuss concrete ways of taking forward the findings and recommendations.
- **Complement the research through a range of capacity strengthening workshops and activities** aimed at supporting humanitarian actors and donors to better understand and interpret VAWG research and evidence.
- **Facilitate access to evidence on VAWG in conflict and humanitarian crises** by managing a comprehensive and user-friendly database.
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