Approaches to assess value for money and scale up of violence against women and girls prevention:

A Summary of the Evidence
About this brief

A number of interventions to prevent and address violence against women and girls (VAWG) have been found to be effective, but little is known about their costs, value for money, and how to take them to scale. With a focus on evidence in low and middle income countries, this review summarises evidence on the costs and value for money of interventions to prevent violence against women and girls, as well as on approaches for scaling up.

What do we know about the costs and efficiency of VAWG interventions?

- We know very little about the costs and efficiency of VAWG interventions. Only eight studies with cost or economic evaluation data were identified from low and middle income countries. Five of these were for interventions to prevent violence against women and girls in South Africa (IMAGE and Soul City), Uganda (SASA!), Brazil (Programme H) and India (Avahan). Three were for post-rape care in Kenya and South Africa.
- Most economic evaluations presented data on the unit costs of delivering the intervention, such as cost per participant trained, community member exposed, woman supported.
- There was a wide range in unit costs for similar interventions – such as post-rape care and gender training sessions. This variation may be partially due to different costing methods or indicate some potential for efficiency gains. For example, the number of hours of group training sessions used in different intervention models vary widely, and it is not clear what length of training would be most efficient.
- A major weakness of the evidence base is that the costing analyses were of varying quality, and used a range of intervention outputs, making it difficult to compare the relative efficiency of different interventions.
- Most cost estimates are from single sites and small-scale pilots, making it difficult to generalise and use current unit cost data to inform future budgeting at a national or global level.

What was measured?

Financial costs – money spent to deliver the intervention
Economic costs – the value of other non-financial inputs, such as office space and volunteer time
Unit cost – cost per intervention output produced
What was not measured?
Costs to participants in interventions
The breadth of benefits of effective violence prevention

Are VAWG interventions good value for money?

- It is difficult to answer this question as only two of the studies analysed cost-effectiveness for a violence outcome (1, 2), rather than presenting a unit cost. Only one allowed for a comparison with an international threshold of what is considered acceptable and cost-effective (WHO), namely the gender/HIV training component added on to a microfinance scheme (IMAGE) for poor women in rural South Africa, which proved cost-effective even when only factoring in its impact on partner violence.
- Approaches to preventing VAWG are likely to have multiple spillover effects on women’s health, as well as the health of their children and their children’s education. Development interventions that address the underlying structural causes of violence, such as poverty, could also indirectly impact on VAWG outcomes.

A considerable number of studies, particularly from high-income countries, have sought to quantify the economic cost of violence, or the cost to society of not intervening to address VAWG. Such evidence could be an important input into value for money assessments that incorporate a broad range of costs and benefits.
How could cost-effective VAWG programmes be replicated and scaled up?

- Current evidence on effective interventions point to the importance of participatory group-based intervention delivery, larger scale social norm and community mobilisation approaches, as well as the value of structural level changes. There is very limited research on how different VAWG prevention models could be scaled up, and a greater focus in this area is needed.

- Group-based participatory interventions that engage over time with women, men, girls and boys could possibly best be scaled up as add-ons to large-scale programmes in various sectors, such as education, economic development, social welfare and health, with potentially low incremental cost. By addressing the multiple economic and health needs of their beneficiaries, such an approach could enable existing investments to be leveraged for greater impact on VAWG prevention. This approach to scale up would not be without challenges, and evidence on the feasibility, potential costs and benefits to specific sectors of collaborating in VAWG prevention is likely to be needed to inform future policy.

- Community-level mobilisation intervention models delivered by a local NGO may be more efficiently expanded through replication by other similar organisations. This ‘franchise’ model has been used to scale up community-focused HIV programmes to good effect, and similarly, is likely to be an important model to support VAWG prevention. Although the focus of such programmes may primarily be on preventing violence, their benefits are likely to be far broader. Cases for support and value for money assessments should therefore include the multiple benefits of such gender-transformative community programmes.
Research gaps

There are large research gaps in the current evidence base on the costs, efficiency, value for money and scalability of interventions to prevent VAWG. The following is needed:

• More rigorous cost analyses and economic evaluations of effective interventions, and particularly those that have already been replicated or have the potential to be delivered at scale, through various platforms that exist in different sectors, such as education, economic development, social welfare and health.

• Further research to understand the processes and economics of scaling up pilot or small-scale interventions that have been found to be effective at preventing violence and/or changing related gender norms in various settings.

• Better understanding of what represents good value for money in VAWG programming and what society and decision makers may be willing to pay specific VAWG outcomes, such as a year free of violence, considering the range of direct and indirect benefits and costs savings across sectors.

• A standardised Disability-Adjusted Life Year (DALY) measure specific to VAWG in order to enable researchers and advocates to compare VAWG interventions to other health interventions.

• Operational research to help provide insights into ways to deliver violence prevention at lower cost, without compromising quality, e.g. by using new technologies, shorter or less intensive training programmes, including diffusion components along with core training activities. This should be used to identify models of intervention that have rationalised their components to only include the most effective elements are likely to be more adaptable and replicable, and also more efficient to scale up.

• Research to identify whether there are incremental additions or design tweaks that could be made to existing large-scale interventions without an explicit violence prevention objective, such as social protection or livelihood programmes, to optimise their impact on VAWG.

• Larger costing studies, including several delivery sites, to help understand the underlying cost structures and determinants of the costs of different models of intervention.